

LUBA Case Summaries

April 2025

Note: This information is compiled and made available to the public by the Land Use Board of Appeals (LUBA). These case summaries are provided for public informational use only. These case summaries are not considered part of the Board's opinion and should not be cited as legal authority. Summarized decisions may be subject to judicial review, which may result in all or part of the LUBA decision being invalidated. LUBA does not update these case summaries with subsequent appellate court actions.

The full text of LUBA's Final Opinions can be found at <https://www.oregon.gov/luba/Pages/Final-Opinions.aspx>. LUBA generally posts copies of its decisions online weekly. LUBA generally posts case summaries online monthly.

Case summaries below may include references to LUBA's headnote index. Similarly indexed headnotes can be found at <https://www.oregon.gov/luba/Pages/Headnotes.aspx>.

● ***1000 Friends of Oregon v. Douglas County*, LUBA No 2024-076 (Apr 14, 2025)**
(Opinion by Zamudio, Board Chair)

Petitioners appealed a county ordinance adopting amendments to the land use and development ordinance and comprehensive plan to add a rural transitional 20-acre zoning classification. Held: The parties stipulated that the challenged decision be remanded. Remanded.

● ***Jenkinson v. Lane County*, LUBA No 2024-091 (Apr 14, 2025)**
(Opinion by Wilson, Board Member)

Petitioners appealed a hearings official decision denying petitioners' applications for two legal lot verifications. Held: The hearings official concluded that the subject lots were not legal lots because they were created by sequential deeded land divisions that resulted in the creation of four or more parcels in one calendar year, referred to as "the rule of four." The hearings official improperly relied upon a temporal limitation that did not exist in the county's 1962 subdivision ordinance to deny petitioners' applications. Reversed.

1.2.1 Administrative Law – Denials – Generally.

1.1.1 Administrative Law – Interpretation of Law – Generally.

28.2 LUBA Scope of Review – Denials.

28.8.1 LUBA Scope of Review – Grounds for Reversal/Remand – Generally.

● ***Kilgore v. City of Woodburn*, LUBA No 2025-021 (Apr 22, 2025)**
(Opinion by Wilson, Board Member)

Petitioner appealed a city council decision approving a conditional use permit for a gas station, convenience store, and office building. Held: Petitioner requested that the appeal be dismissed. Dismissed.

LUBA Case Summaries
April 2025

- ***Sipe v. City of Umatilla*, LUBA No 2024-099 (Apr 25, 2025)**
(Opinion by Bassham, Board Member)

Petitioners appealed a city council decision approving a conditional use permit to construct, operate, and maintain two 230kV, double-circuit transmission lines. Held: Petitioners requested that the appeal be dismissed. Dismissed.

[End of Document]