

**18.2 Goal 14 – Urbanization/ Goal 14 Rule – Establishment of UGB.** Under Goal 14, OAR 660-024-0030(1) and OAR 660-024-0040(1), urban growth boundary amendments must be consistent with the city’s 20-year population projections, which in turn must be consistent with the county’s population projection for the county and urban areas within the county. For purposes of urban growth boundary amendments, both the county’s and the city’s population projections must be “included in the comprehensive plan or in a document referenced by the plan.” *Hawksworth v. City of Roseburg*, 64 Or LUBA 171 (2011).

**18.2 Goal 14 – Urbanization/ Goal 14 Rule – Establishment of UGB.** Although it may be likely that an urban growth boundary that was adopted to include a 20-year supply of buildable land no longer has a 20-year supply of buildable land 30 years later, a city must first amend its comprehensive plan to include an updated population projection, before amending its urban growth boundary based on the updated population projections rather than the old population projections in its comprehensive plan. *Hawksworth v. City of Roseburg*, 64 Or LUBA 171 (2011).

**18.2 Goal 14 - Urbanization/ Goal 14 Rule - Establishment of UGB.** A comprehensive plan policy governing “establish[ment] and change” of an urban growth boundary is not applicable to a local government decision amending the plan and zoning map designations of property that is entirely within the urban growth boundary. *Roloff v. City of Milton-Freewater*, 27 Or LUBA 256 (1994).