

18.6.2 Goal 14 – Urbanization/ Goal 14 Rule – Annexations/Incorporation – LCDC Rule. OAR 660-024-0050(6) requires that when land is added to an urban growth boundary (UGB), the local government “must assign appropriate urban plan designations to the added land, consistent with the need determination and the requirements of section (7) of this rule, if applicable.” OAR 660-024-0050(7) provides lands included with a UGB for a public facility “must be planned and zoned for the intended use and must remain planned and zoned for that use unless the city removes the land from the UGB.” A city decision to retain the land’s existing Exclusive Farm Use zoning until the land is annexed into the city is inconsistent with the OAR 660-024-0050(7) requirement to adopt concurrent plan and zone re-designation “for [that] intended use.” *Deumling v. City of Salem*, 76 Or LUBA 99 (2017).

18.6.2 Goal 14 – Urbanization/ Goal 14 Rule – Annexations/Incorporation – LCDC Rule. Petitioners’ argument that a proposed golf course expansion violates Goal 14 because it constitutes an expansion of an urban use onto rural EFU-zoned land provides no basis for reversal or remand, because Goal 14 does not apply to a permit to expand a use allowed in an EFU zone. *DLCD v. Jackson County*, 36 Or LUBA 88 (1999).

18.6.2 Goal 14 – Urbanization/ Goal 14 Rule – Annexations/Incorporation – LCDC Rule. Where a local government decision does not incorporate a new city but erroneously applies LCDC’s rules concerning incorporation of new cities in the course of taking an exception to Goal 14, the error is harmless and provides no basis for reversal or remand. *James v. Josephine County*, 35 Or LUBA 493 (1999).