

**30.1.2 Zoning Ordinances – Adoption – Procedure.** Where a local government adopts an ordinance that repeals and readopts, verbatim, 34 previously adopted and acknowledged land use ordinances, solely to correct a problem with the publication notice for the readopted ordinances, the repeal and re-adoption of the 34 ordinances does not accomplish any “change” or amendment to the acknowledged ordinances that would require that the re-adoption be processed as a post-acknowledgment plan amendment under ORS 197.610 *et seq.* Repeal and verbatim re-adoption of a previously acknowledged ordinance does not change the acknowledged status of the ordinance, such that pursuant to ORS 197.175(2)(e) the statewide planning goals then apply directly to decisions made under the readopted ordinance. *Squier v. Multnomah County*, 71 Or LUBA 98 (2015).

**30.1.2 Zoning Ordinances – Adoption – Procedure.** ORS 215.060 provides that action regarding a comprehensive plan shall have no effect, unless 10 days’ advance public notice of each hearing is published in a newspaper of general circulation. Where the required 10 days’ notice is given, the county’s subsequent decision to adopt different parts of the proposed comprehensive plan amendments by separate ordinances does not require new notice under ORS 215.060. *Johnson v. Jefferson County*, 56 Or LUBA 72 (2008).

**30.1.2 Zoning Ordinances – Adoption – Procedure.** An indication on the notice of adoption furnished to DLCD under ORS 197.610(1) that the Statewide Planning Goals do not apply to a proposed plan or code amendment or a new land use regulation is a ministerial act without independent significance, not a land use decision subject to LUBA’s jurisdiction. *Petersen v. Columbia County*, 33 Or LUBA 253 (1997).

**30.1.2 Zoning Ordinances – Adoption – Procedure.** ORS 215.503(2)(a), requiring all legislative acts related to comprehensive plans or zoning adopted by a county governing body to be by ordinance, applies to legislative decisions adopting or amending comprehensive plans and zoning ordinances, not to quasi-judicial plan or zone changes. *Sahagian v. Columbia County*, 27 Or LUBA 592 (1994).