```
BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
1
                          OF THE STATE OF OREGON
2
   Michael A. McCrystal,
3
                   Petitioner,
4
                                          LUBA No. 79-027
         and
5
                                          ORDER OF DISMISSAL
   Friends of Polk County,
                  Petitioner,
7
8
        vs.
   Polk County,
9
10
                   Respondent.
11
         This matter is before the Board on its own motion because
12
   it appears in the face of the Notice of Intent to Appeal that
13
   the land use action complained of had not taken place at the
14
   time the notice was filed. This Board has no power except that
15
   provided by law and its power to review land use decisions
16
   exists only after the decision is made. Here, an act concern-
17
   ing a partitioning was announced at a meeting, but that announce-
18
   ment is not a final and appealable determination until reduced
19
   to writing. Procedural Rule 3(E). No authority has been pre-
20
   sented showing how the Board may retain jurisdiction under these
21
   circumstances. Petitioners, in their letter of December 17, 1979,
22
   have, in fact, requested the Board to promptly dismiss the matter
23
24
   so a new appeal may be filed.
         By letter of December 13, 1979, this Board advised the
25
   parties that the Board would dismiss the matter and return
26
Page
         ORDER OF DISMISSAL
     1.
```

(1	the "filing fee" unless shown that it had the power to act.
	2	The reference to return of the filing fee was not correct.
	3	The filing fee may not be returned as Ch 772, Or Laws 1979
	4	provides that "costs" may be awarded the prevailing party;
	5	and "costs," as defined in the act, is separate from the filing
	6	fee. The Board has no authority to award the filing fee except
	7	where no petition for reveiw is filed through default of the
	8	petitioner. However, unless advised by the respondent that
	9	costs have been incurred in preparation of the record in this
	10	matter, the \$150.00 deposit for the cost of record production
	11	may be returned. The respondent has until January 10, 1980
	12	to present a cost bill. Should there be no costs, Respondent
	13	is asked to so advise this Board in writing as soon as possible.
7		
(14	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this appeal is dismissed.
(· ·	14 15	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this appeal is dismissed. Dated this 26th day of December, 1979.
(·		
(·	15	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
(·	15 16	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979.
	15 16 17 18	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
(.	15 16 17 18 19 20	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg
	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Dated this 26th day of December, 1979. John T. Bagg

Page 2. ORDER OF DISMISSAL