```
BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
1
                         OF THE STATE OF OREGON
2
    Top Cut Feedlots, Inc.
3
                                           LUBA No. 80-007
                  Petitioner,
4
         vs.
5
                                           ORDER DISMISSING
    Umatilla County Board of
                                           PETITIONER'S APPEAL
    Commissioners,
7
                  Respondent.
8
         This matter came before the Board on Respondent Umatilla
9
    County Board of Commissioners' motion for an order dismissing
10
    petitioner's appeal for failure to file Notice of Intent
11
    to Appeal within the time prescribed by statute. Respondent
12
    appeared by motion and accompanying memorandum by and through
13
    their county counsel, Michele Hallman.
14
         Petitioner did not file any documents in opposition to
15
    respondent's motion to dismiss.
16
         Petitioner wishes to appeal the land use decision of
17
    respondent entitled Comprehensive Plan Map Amendment No.
18
    P-018 which became final on November 7, 1979. The Land Use
19
    Board of Appeals received a letter from Brent Horn, President
20
    of Top Cut Feedlots, Inc., petitioner herein on December 10,
21
           This letter was purported to be an official notice of
22
    intent to appeal the Umatilla County Board of Commissioners'
23
    land use planning decision as above indicated. The letter,
24
    however, did not contain the information or filing fees required
25
    by this Board. In addition, it was received more than 30 days
26
Page
         ORDER DISMISSING
      1.
```

PETITIONER'S APPEAL

1	after the contested decision in violation of Oregon Laws 1979,
2	Ch 772 and this Board's Administrative Rule No. 4(C). Mr.
3	Horn was mailed a copy of this Board's rules on December
4	10, 1979. On January 14, 1979, this Board received petitioner's
5	Notice of Intent to Appeal in a form required by Board rules,
6	however, the Notice did not contain the required filing fee
7	or deposit for costs. Said filing fee and deposit for costs
8	were not received by this Board until January 25, 1980.
9	In light of the above sequence of events, petitioner
10	failed to meet the required filing deadlines and, thus, his
11	Notice of Intent to Appeal was not timely. Therefore, respon-
12	dent's motion to dismiss is granted.
13	Dated this day of formary, 1980.
14	
15	William C. Cox
16	Hearings Referee
17	
18	•
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

Page 2. ORDER DISMISSING PETITIONER'S APPEAL