```
BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEAFEB 20 3 38 PM '8!
 1
 2
                           OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
    JOYCE L. KING, et al,
                                     )
 4
              Petitioners,
                                           LUBA NO. 80-117
 5
        ٧.
                                           FINAL OPINION
 6
    YAMHILL COUNTY,
                                             AND ORDER
 7
              Respondent,
 8
        and
 9
    JAMES M. WOOD and IRENE M.
    WOOD,
10
              Respondent-Intervenor.)
11
        Appeal from Yamhill County.
12
        Gail F. Achterman
                                     Daryl Garrettson
13
        Elizabeth Cosgriff
                                     David C. Jory
        Stoel, Rives, Boley
                                     Yamhill County Counsel
14
         Fraser and Wyse
                                     Yamhill County Courthouse
        Attorneys at Law
                                     McMinnville, OR 97128
15
        900 SW Fifth Avenue
        Portland, OR 97204
                                     James & Irene Wood
16
                                     Route 2, Box 249-K
                                     Dayton, OR 97114
17
        Cox, Referee; Reynolds, Chief Referee; Bagg, Referee;
18
    participated in the decision.
19
        Remanded.
                                                2/20/81
20
        You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
    Judicial review is governed by the provisions of Oregon Laws
21
    1979, ch 772, sec 6(a).
22
23
24
25
26
Page
```

```
1
        COX, Referee.
 2
        This matter is before the Board on motion of Respondent and
 3
    Respondent-Intervenors for an Order of Remand to Respondent
 4
    Yamhill County. The basis for the requested remand is
 5
    acknowledgment by Yamhill County that the findings adopted by
 6
    it do not adequately set forth the facts it relied upon in
 7
    making its decision and do not provide an adequate basis for
 8
    review by this Board.
 9
        Having received no response from petitioner, said Motion
10
    for Reconsideration is hereby granted. The basis for granting
11
    the remand is that the findings adopted by Yamhill County in
12
    support of its decision do not provide an adequate basis for
13
    review and do not adequately set forth the facts Yamhill County
14
    relied upon in making its decision.
15
        It is further ordered that petitioners recover from
16
    Respondent Yamhill County the sum of $50 as filing fee costs
17
    and this Board shall refund to petitioner their $150 deposit
18
    for costs.
19
        Remanded.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

Page