

JAN 19 1 09 PM '83

BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

CITY OF SANDY, )  
)  
Petitioner, )  
)  
vs. )  
)  
CLACKAMAS COUNTY, )  
)  
Respondent. )

LUBA No. 82-066

AMENDED  
FINAL OPINION  
(ORDER OF DISMISSAL)

and  
WILLIAM H. PRENTICE, PETER )  
MC DONALD, 1000 FRIENDS OF )  
OREGON, the assumed name of )  
the Oregon Land Use Project, )  
Inc., )  
Petitioners, )  
vs. )  
CLACKAMAS COUNTY, )  
Respondent. )

LUBA No. 82-067

Appeal from Clackamas County.

Richard P. Benner  
400 Dekum Building  
519 S.W. Third Aveune  
Portland, OR 97204  
Attorney for Petitioners

Michael E. Judd  
906 Main Street  
Oregon City, OR 97045  
Attorney for Respondent County

Timothy V. Ramis  
1727 N.W. Hoyt  
Portland, OR 97209  
Attorney for  
Charles Clock

Wayne D. Landsverk  
Suite 1212 Commonwealth Bldg.  
Portland, OR 97204  
Attorney for  
Carmel Estates, Inc.

1 Rodney C. Adams  
2 4500 S.W. Hall Blvd.  
3 Beaverton, OR 97005  
4 Attorney for  
5 James Funk,  
6 B&F Purchasers, Inc.,  
7 Gaffers, Inc.

Garry P. McMurry  
1600 Benj. Franklin Plaza  
Portland, OR 97258  
Attorney for  
Carl Halvorson, Inc.

BAGG, Board Member; COX, Board Member.

DISMISSED

1/19/83

8 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.  
9 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of Oregon Laws  
10 1979, ch 772, sec 6(a), as amended by Oregon Laws 1981, ch 748.

1 BAGG, Board Member.

2 On January 13, 1983, the Board issued an order in the above  
3 entitled appeals. The text of the order properly recited that  
4 petitioners moved to withdraw the "appeal." However, the order  
5 did not explain that the case withdrawn was City of Sandy v  
6 Clackamas County, not the companion case of William H.  
7 Prentice, et al v Clackamas County, as could easily have been  
8 understood by the title page of the opinion. There has been no  
9 withdrawal of the appeal in William H. Prentice, et al v  
10 Clackamas County, LUBA No. 82-067; and, therefore, the order of  
11 January 13, 1983 is amended to dismiss only City of Sandy v  
12 Clackamas County, LUBA No. 82-066, and all reference to the  
13 matter of William H. Prentice, et al v Clackamas County, LUBA  
14 No. 82-067 is deleted. William H. Prentice, et al v Clackamas  
15 County is an active review proceeding before this Board.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JAN 13 2 57 PM '83

BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS  
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

1  
2  
3 CITY OF SANDY, )  
4                    ) Petitioner, )  
5                    ) vs. )  
6 CLACKAMAS COUNTY, )  
7                    ) Respondent. )

LUBA No. 82-066

FINAL OPINION

(ORDER OF DISMISSAL)

8                    ) and )  
9 WILLIAM H. PRENTICE, PETER )  
10 MC DONALD, 1000 FRIENDS OF )  
11 the Oregon Land Use Project, )  
12 Inc., )  
13                    ) Petitioners, )  
14                    ) vs. )  
15 CLACKAMAS COUNTY, )  
16                    ) Respondent. )

LUBA No. 82-067

17 Appeal from Clackamas County.

18  
19 Richard P. Benner  
20 400 Dekum Building  
21 519 S.W. Third Aveune  
22 Portland, OR 97204  
23 Attorney for Petitioners

Michael E. Judd  
906 Main Street  
Oregon City, OR 97045  
Attorney for Respondent County

22 Timothy V. Ramis  
23 1727 N.W. Hoyt  
24 Portland, OR 97209  
25 Attorney for  
26 Charles Clock

Wayne D. Landsverk  
Suite 1212 Commonwealth Bldg.  
Portland, OR 97204  
Attorney for  
Carmel Estates, Inc.

1 Rodney C. Adams  
2 4500 S.W. Hall Blvd.  
3 Beaverton, OR 97005  
4 Attorney for  
5 James Funk,  
6 B&F Purchasers, Inc.,  
7 Gaffers, Inc.

Garry P. McMurry  
1600 Benj. Franklin Plaza  
Portland, OR 97258  
Attorney for  
Carl Halvorson, Inc.

8 BAGG, Board Member; COX, Board Member.

9 DISMISSED

1/13/83

10 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.  
11 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of Oregon Laws  
12 1979, ch 772, sec 6(a), as amended by Oregon Laws 1981, ch 748.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

1 BAGG, Board Member.

2 This matter is before the Board on petitioners' motion to  
3 withdraw its notice of intent to appeal for the reason that  
4 grounds upon which petitioners intended to base its appeal have  
5 been rendered moot by action of the Land Conservation and  
6 Development Commission on December 21, 1982.

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED this appeal is dismissed without  
8 prejudice to any party.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Page