```
FEB 3 10 12 An '89
1
                 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2
                        OF THE STATE OF OREGON
  JOSEPHINE COHEN,
   individually, and as Personal
4 Representative of Estate of
  Paul Gold and as Trustee for
5 Hannah Davis; ALLEN COHEN, as
  Personal Representative of
6 the Estate of Paul Gold and
  as Trustee for Hannah Davis;
7 HANNAH DAVIS; JACK GOLD;
  ETHEL GOLD; SEE-GOLD &
8 ASSOCIATES,
                                           LUBA No. 87-098
9
            Petitioners,
                                            FINAL OPINION
10
                                        AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL
       vs.
11 CITY OF PORTLAND,
12
            Respondent.
13
       Appeal from City of Portland.
14
                                   Peter A. Kasting
       Diane Spies
                                   Deputy City Attorney
15
       Attorney at Law
                                   1220 SW Fifth Avenue
       4512 SW Kelly
                                   Portland, OR
                                                 97204
       Portland, OR 97201
16
       Attorney for
                                   Attorney for
                                     Respondent
         Petitioner
17
                        Jeannette M. Launer
18
                        Portland Development Commission
                        Legal Counsel
19
                        1120 SW Fifth Avenue
                        Portland, OR 97204
20
                        Attorney for
                          Participant-Respondent
21
                          Portland Development Commission
22
       SHERTON, Referee; BAGG, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee.
23
                                   02/03/88
       DISMISSED
24
       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
   Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
26
```

```
1
       Sherton, Referee.
2
       Petitioners filed a Motion to Dismiss this appeal on the
3
   ground the issues have been fully compromised and settled,
   rendering the appeal moot. In a telephone conference on
5
   February 1, 1988, Respondent City of Portland and
6
   Participant-Respondent Portland Development Commission stated
   they have no objections to the dismissal.
       It is ordered the appeal is dismissed.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
Page
```