## LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS

```
BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
                                                         APR 22 3 22 PM 186
1
                        OF THE STATE OF OREGON
2
                                   )
  MARK HEMSTREET,
            Petitioner,
4
       Vs.
                                             LUBA No. 87-096
  CITY OF SEASIDE,
                                              FINAL OPINION
            Respondent,
                                         AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL
7
       and
R
  JOHN Q. HAMMONS,
            Participant-
10
            Respondent.
11
       Appeal from City of Seaside.
12
                                   Dan Van Thiel
       Kenneth Eiler
                                   Attorney at Law
13
       Bauske & Eiler
                                   P.O. Box 688
       P.O. Box 53
                                   Astoria, OR 97103
14
       Seaside, OR 97138
                                   Attorney for Respondent
15
       Attorney for Petitioner
                                   Dennis P. Rawlinson
16
       Steven Pfeiffer
                                   Miller, Nash, Wiener,
       Stoels, Rives, Boley
                                     Hager & Carlsen
17
         Jones & Grey
                                    111 SW Fifth Avenue
       900 SW 5th Ave., S-2300
                                   Portland, OR 97204
18
       Portland, OR 97204
                                   Attorney for Participant-
19
       Attorney for Petitioner
                                     Respondent Hammons
20
       HOLSTUN, Referee; BAGG, Chief Referee; SHERTON, Referee.
21
                                    04/22/88
            DISMISSED
22
       You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
  Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
24
25
26
```

1

Page

```
Holstun, Referee.
1
       This appeal challenges the October 12, 1987 decision of the
2
  City of Seaside to comply with a request by the Seaside
  Improvement Commission to transfer certain property to the
  Seaside Improvement Commission. For the reasons set forth in
  our Final Opinion and Order of Dismissal in Hemstreet v.
  Seaside Improvement Commission, ___ Or LUBA ___ (LUBA No.
  87-094, April 22, 1988), we conclude the decision of the City
  of Seaside is not a land use decision subject to our review.
       Because the city's decision is not a land use decision
10
  subject to our review under ORS 197.825(1), this appeal is
11
  dismissed.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```

Page