BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

MARK KEISTER,)	
Petitioner,)) LUBA No. 91-02	4
vs.) FINAL OPINION	•
CLACKAMAS COUNTY,) AND ORDER)	
Respondent.)	

Appeal from Clackamas County.

Mark Keister, Welches, represented himself.

Gloria Gardiner, Oregon City, represented respondent.

SHERTON, Referee; KELLINGTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee, participated in the decision.

DISMISSED 05/29/91

You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

Opinion by Sherton.

MOTION TO DISMISS

Respondent moves that this appeal be dismissed because petitioner has failed to file a petition for Respondent contends the local government record was received by the Board on March 29, 1991, and therefore the petition for review was due on April 19, 1991. Respondent arques that under ORS 197.830(10) and (12) and OAR 661-10-030(1), petitioner's failure to file the petition for review within the time required by Board rule requires this Board to dismiss the appeal. Respondent also requests petitioner's filing fee and deposit for costs be awarded to respondent as the cost of preparation of the record. OAR 661-10-075(1)(c).

Respondent's motion to dismiss was filed with the Board and served on petitioner on May 3, 1991. Under the Board's rules, petitioner has 10 days following receipt of a motion to file a response. OAR 661-10-065(2). As of this date, petitioner has made no response to respondent's motion.

ORS 197.830(10) provides that a petition for review must be filed within the deadlines established by Board rule. ORS 197.830(8) provides that if a petition for review is not filed as required by ORS 197.830(10), the filing fee and deposit for costs shall be awarded to the local government as cost of preparation of the record. OAR 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:

"* * * The petition for review shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under OAR 661-10-045(7) or OAR 661-10-067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal and forfeiture of the filing fee and deposit for costs to the governing body. See OAR 661-10-075(1)(c)."

The petition for review in this appeal was due on April 19, 1991. No extension of time for filing the petition for review has been requested or granted. As of this date, no petition for review has been filed.

Because petitioner has neither filed a petition for review within the time required by our rules, nor obtained an extension of time for filing the petition for review, the above cited statutory provisions and OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we grant respondent's motion to dismiss and request for award of petitioner's filing fee and deposit for costs. McCauley v. Jackson County, ___ Or LUBA ___ (LUBA No. 90-110, October 24, 1990); Piquette v. City of Springfield, 16 Or LUBA 47 (1987); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514 (1987).

This appeal is dismissed. Petitioner's filing fee and deposit for costs are awarded to respondent.