```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
 4
   THOMAS ELLIOTT,
                                    )
 5
                                    )
 6
              Petitioner,
 7
 8
         vs.
                                             LUBA No. 91-078
 9
10
   CITY OF SPRINGFIELD,
11
                                             FINAL OPINION
12
                                                AND ORDER
              Respondent,
13
14
         and
15
16
    SPRINGFIELD UTILITY BOARD,
17
18
              Intervenor-Respondent.
                                                    )
19
20
21
         Appeal from City of Springfield.
22
23
         David E. Atkin, Eugene, represented petitioner.
24
25
         Joseph J. Leahy, Springfield, represented respondent.
26
27
         Laurence E. Thorp, Springfield, represented intervenor-
28
    respondent.
29
         KELLINGTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee; SHERTON
30
31
    Referee, participated in the decision.
32
33
              DISMISSED
                                    08/15/91
34
35
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
36
    Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
37
    197.850.
```

- 1 Kellington, Chief Referee.
- 2 The petition for review in this appeal proceeding was
- 3 due on July 24, 1991. No petition for review or motion for
- 4 extension of time to file the petition for review has been
- 5 filed. Pursuant to OAR 661-10-030(1), respondent moves for
- 6 dismissal of this appeal and for forfeiture of petitioner's
- 7 filing fee and deposit for costs.
- 8 Petitioner filed no objection to respondent's motion to
- 9 dismiss.
- 10 Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed and petitioner's
- 11 filing fee and deposit for costs is awarded to respondent.
- 12 ORS 197.830(8); OAR 661-10-075(1)(c).