

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS

2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 GEORGE LAMPUS,)
5)
6 Petitioner,) LUBA No. 91-112
7)
8 vs.) FINAL OPINION
9) AND ORDER
10 CITY OF PORTLAND,)
11)
12 Respondent.)

13
14
15 Appeal from City of Portland.

16
17 George Lampus, Portland, represented himself.

18
19 Kathryn Beaumont Imperati, Portland, represented
20 respondent.

21
22 HOLSTUN, Chief Referee; SHERTON, Referee; KELLINGTON,
23 Referee, participated in the decision.

24
25 DISMISSED 01/07/92

26
27 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
28 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
29 197.850.

1 Opinion by Holstun.

2 Under our rules, the petition for review in this appeal
3 was due September 13, 1991. Pursuant to a stipulation of
4 the parties, the time for filing the petition for review was
5 extended to November 22, 1991. No petition for review has
6 been filed, and respondent moves for an order dismissing the
7 appeal and awarding respondent petitioner's filing fee and
8 deposit for costs ORS 197.830(8); OAR 661-10-030(1) 661-
9 10-075(1)(c); Citizens Against Forced Annexation v. City of
10 Salem, 15 Or LUBA 3 (1986).

11 This appeal is dismissed, and respondent is awarded
12 petitioner's filing fee and deposit for costs.