1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	MARV DURHAM and BELINDA SANDERS,)
5)
6	Petitioners,) LUBA No. 92-052
7)
8	vs.) FINAL OPINION
9) AND ORDER
10	CITY OF PHILOMATH,)
11)
12	Respondent.)
13	
14	
15	Appeal from City of Philomath.
16	
17	George B. Heilig, Corvallis, represented petitioners.
18	
19	Scott A. Fewell, Corvallis, represented respondent.
20	
21	HOLSTUN, Chief Referee; SHERTON, Referee; KELLINGTON,
22	Referee, participated in the decision.
23	DIGMIGGED 4/00/00
24	DISMISSED 4/28/92
25	Von and ontitled to indigial marries of this Andrew
26 27	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
27	Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.
40	197.000.

- 1 Opinion by Holstun.
- ORS 197.830(8) requires that a notice of intent to
- 3 appeal be filed within 21 days after the challenged decision
- 4 becomes final. On April 3, 1992, respondent moved to
- 5 dismiss this appeal, alleging the notice of intent to appeal
- 6 in this proceeding was not filed within the time limit
- 7 established by ORS 197.830(8). In addition, no petition for
- 8 review was filed within 21 days after the record was
- 9 received by the Board in this matter, as required by ORS
- 10 197.830(10) and OAR 661-10-030(1).
- 11 Petitioners have neither responded to the motion to
- 12 dismiss nor submitted a request for extension of time in
- 13 which to file the petition for review in accordance with OAR
- 14 661-10-067(2).
- 15 Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed.