

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 LOGAN RAMSEY and MARGARETTA)
5 RAMSEY,)
6)
7 Petitioners,)
8)
9 vs.)
10) LUBA Nos. 90-104 and 90-105
11 CITY OF PORTLAND,)
12) FINAL OPINION
13 Respondent,) AND ORDER
14)
15 and)
16)
17 MEADOW OUTDOOR ADVERTISING, INC.,)
18)
19 Intervenor-Respondent.)

20
21
22 Appeal from City of Portland.

23
24 Edward J. Sullivan and Daniel Kearns, Portland,
25 represented petitioners.

26
27 Kathryn Beaumont Imperati, Portland, represented
28 respondent.

29
30 Timothy V. Ramis, Portland, represented intervenor-
31 respondent.

32
33 SHERTON, Referee; HOLSTUN, Chief Referee; KELLINGTON,
34 Referee, participated in the decision.

35
36 DISMISSED 07/08/92

37
38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
39 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
40 197.850.

1 Sheraton, Referee.

2 Petitioners move to withdraw their notices of intent to
3 appeal and request that this consolidated appeal proceeding
4 be dismissed. Petitioners also ask that the Board return
5 their deposits for costs.

6 The other parties do not object to petitioners'
7 requests.

8 This appeal is dismissed. Petitioners' deposits for
9 costs, in the amount of \$300, shall be returned by the
10 Board.