

1 Opinion by Holstun.

2 In our original decision in this matter, we remanded
3 the county's decision solely on the basis that the county
4 failed to correctly interpret and apply Jackson County Land
5 Development Ordinance 218.060(1)(D). Clark v. Jackson
6 County, 19 Or LUBA 220 (1990). The Oregon Court of Appeals
7 reversed that portion of our decision, and remanded our
8 decision. Clark v. Jackson County, 103 Or App 377, 797 P2d
9 1061 (1990). The Supreme Court affirmed the Court of
10 Appeals' decision on other grounds. Clark v. Jackson
11 County, 313 Or 508, ___ P2d ___ (1992).

12 In accordance with the Supreme Court's decision, the
13 county's decision is affirmed.