

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 PAULINE YOUNG and ELDEN YOUNG,)
5))
6 Petitioners,)
7))
8 vs.)
9))
10 LAKE COUNTY,)
11))
12 Respondent.)

LUBA No. 92-098

FINAL OPINION
AND ORDER

13
14
15 Appeal from Lake County.

16
17 David B. Smith, Tigard, represented petitioners.

18
19 Daniel B. Spencer, Lakeview, represented respondent.

20
21 SHERTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee; KELLINGTON,
22 Referee, participated in the decision.

23
24 DISMISSED 11/30/92

25
26 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
27 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
28 197.850.

1 Sheraton, Chief Referee.

2 The parties agree that this appeal may be dismissed.
3 The parties further agree that the county may be awarded
4 costs for preparation of the local record.

5 The county is the prevailing party in this appeal.
6 Under OAR 661-10-075(1)(b)(B), we may award the county
7 copying costs for the required number of copies of the local
8 record, at \$.10 per page. In this case, the required number
9 of copies is two (one copy for the Board and one for
10 petitioners), and the record includes 87 pages.
11 Accordingly, we award the county \$17.40, to be paid from
12 petitioners' deposit for costs. The remainder of
13 petitioners' deposit for costs, in the amount of \$132.60,
14 shall be returned to petitioners.

15 This appeal is dismissed.