```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 2
 3
   LEE WILLEMAN, and EAST LANCASTER
                                                   )
   NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION,
                                   )
 6
                                   )
 7
             Petitioners,
                                   )
 8
 9
        vs.
10
                                           LUBA No. 92-139
                                   )
11
  CITY OF SALEM,
                                   )
12
                                   )
                                            FINAL OPINION
13
             Respondent,
                                   )
                                              AND ORDER
14
                                   )
15
         and
16
   KEITH KUENZI, WESTECH ENGINEERING,)
17
   and TRAN CO. INC.,
18
                                   )
19
                                   )
20
             Intervenors-Respondent.
                                                  )
21
22
23
        Appeal from City of Salem.
24
25
        Lee Willeman, Salem, represented himself. Wallace W.
26
            Salem, represented petitioner East Lancaster
    Neighborhood Association.
27
28
29
        Paul Lee, Salem, represented respondent.
30
31
        Kris Jon Gorsuch, Salem, represented intervenors-
32
   respondent.
33
         SHERTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee; KELLINGTON,
34
35
    Referee, participated in the decision.
36
37
             DISMISSED
                                   11/30/92
38
39
        You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
40 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
41 197.850.
```

- 1 Sherton, Chief Referee.
- 2 Petitioners move that this appeal be dismissed.
- 3 Petitioners also move that the unused portion of their
- 4 deposit for costs be returned to them. Respondent and
- 5 intervenors-respondent do not object to petitioners' motion.
- 6 Respondent is a prevailing party in this appeal. Under
- 7 OAR 661-10-075(1)(b)(B), we may award respondent copying
- 8 costs for the required number of copies of the local record,
- 9 at \$.10 per page. In this case, the required number of
- 10 copies is three (one copy for the Board and one copy for
- 11 each petitioner), and the record includes 240 pages
- 12 Accordingly, we award respondent \$72.00, to be paid from
- 13 petitioners' deposit for costs. The remainder of
- 14 petitioners' deposit for costs, in the amount of \$78.00,
- 15 shall be returned to petitioners.
- 16 This appeal is dismissed.