1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS 2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 VIVIENNE L. LEE and DICKSON LEE, 4) 5) б Petitioners,) 7) LUBA No. 92-182 8 vs.) FINAL OPINION 9) 10 CITY OF WEST LINN, AND ORDER) 11) 12 Respondent.) 13 14 15 Appeal from City of West Linn. 16 17 Daniel Kearns, Portland, represented petitioners. 18 19 William A. Monahan, Portland, represented respondent. 20 21 SHERTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee; KELLINGTON, 22 Referee, participated in the decision. 23 24 11/12/92 REMANDED 25 26 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. 27 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850. 28

1 Sherton, Chief Referee.

2 The parties stipulate that the city's decision be 3 remanded for further proceedings.

4 The parties also request that the Board return 5 petitioners' filing fee and deposit for costs. However, б although the Board's rules authorize award of a petitioner's filing fee to respondent in certain circumstances 7 (OAR 661-10-075(1)(c)), they do not provide for the return 8 of a filing fee to a petitioner. Totman v. City of Grants 9 Pass, ____ Or LUBA ____ (LUBA No. 92-146, September 10, 1992). 10 The city's decision is remanded. The Board shall 11 return petitioners' deposit for costs, in the amount of 12 13 \$150, to petitioners.