```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
 4
   PATRICIA K. SPADY,
                                    )
 5
                                    )
 6
              Petitioner,
 7
 8
         vs.
                                            LUBA No. 92-210
 9
10
   CITY OF OREGON CITY,
11
                                             FINAL OPINION
12
                                                AND ORDER
             Respondent,
13
14
         and
15
16
    ROBERT VANDERWERF,
17
18
              Intervenor-Respondent.
                                                    )
19
20
21
         Appeal from City of Oregon City.
22
23
        Jon S. Henricksen, Gladstone, represented petitioner.
24
25
        Mark J. Greenfield, Portland, represented respondent.
26
27
                Epstein, Portland, represented
         Larry
                                                     intervenor-
28
    respondent.
29
         HOLSTUN, Referee; SHERTON, Chief Referee; KELLINGTON,
30
31
    Referee, participated in the decision.
32
33
             DISMISSED
                                    02/08/93
34
35
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
36
   Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
37
    197.850.
```

- 1 Opinion by Holstun.
- 2 The record in this matter was received on December 2,
- 3 1992. The petition for review was due December 23, 1992.
- 4 ORS 197.830(10); OAR 661-10-030(1). No petition for review
- 5 has been received by the Board, and respondent moves to
- 6 dismiss. Respondent also requests award of petitioner's
- 7 filing fee and deposit for costs as cost of preparation of
- 8 the record in this matter. OAR 661-10-075(1)(c).
- 9 This appeal is dismissed, and respondent is awarded
- 10 petitioner's filing fee and deposit for costs in this
- 11 matter.