1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	MATRIX DEVELOPMENT, )
5	)
6	Petitioner, ) LUBA No. 92-167
7	)
8	vs. ) FINAL OPINION
9	) AND ORDER
10	CITY OF TIGARD, )
11	)
12	Respondent. )
13	
14	
15	Appeal from City of Tigard.
16	
17	Steven L. Pfeiffer, Portland, represented petitioner.
18	
19	Timothy V. Ramis and Michael C. Robinson, Portland,
20	represented respondent.
21 22	HOLSTUN, Referee; SHERTON, Chief Referee; KELLINGTON,
23	Referee, participated in the decision.
24	Referee, participated in the decision.
25	DISMISSED 07/13/93
26	01/13/93
27	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
28	Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
29	197.850.

- 1 Opinion by Holstun.
- 2 Pursuant to ORS 197.830(12)(b) and OAR 661-10-021, the
- 3 city withdrew the decision challenged in this appeal for
- 4 reconsideration. On May 4, 1993, the Board received the
- 5 city's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to
- 6 OAR 661-10-021(5)(a), petitioner had until May 25, 1993 to
- 7 (1) refile its original notice of intent to appeal in this
- 8 matter, or (2) file an amended notice of intent to appeal.
- 9 The Board has not received a refiled original notice of
- 10 intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to appeal in
- 11 accordance with OAR 661-10-021(5)(a).
- 12 OAR 661-10-021(5)(d) provides "[i]f no amended notice
- 13 of intent to appeal is filed or no original notice of intent
- 14 to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-10-021(5)(a)],
- 15 the appeal will be dismissed."
- 16 This appeal is dismissed.