```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                        OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
   WATERWATCH OF OREGON, INC., and )
   DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION )
    AND DEVELOPMENT,
 6
 7
                                     )
 8
              Petitioners,
 9
10
         and
11
12
   OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY,
13
    OREGON DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND
14
   WILDLIFE, and OREGON PARKS AND
                                    )
15
    RECREATION DEPARTMENT,
16
17
                                       LUBA Nos. 93-003 and 93-006
18
              Intervenors-Petitioner,
                                                     )
                                               FINAL OPINION
19
                                     )
20
                                                 AND ORDER
         vs.
                                     )
21
                                     )
22
   GRANT COUNTY,
23
24
              Respondent,
25
26
         and
27
28
    STEVEN J. COURTNEY, ROBERT L.
29
    PEREIRA, OREGONIANS IN ACTION,
                                     )
30
    and MICHAEL G. SMITH,
31
                                     )
32
                                                     )
              Intervenors-Respondent.
33
34
35
         Appeal from Grant County.
36
37
         Bill Kloos, Eugene, represented petitioner WaterWatch
38
    of Oregon, Inc.
39
40
         Celeste Doyle, Assistant Attorney General,
41
    represented petitioner Department of Land Conservation and
42
    Development and intervenors-petitioner.
43
44
         Wallace D. Cegavske, Roseburg, represented respondent.
45
```

1 Steven J. Courtney, John Day, represented himself. 2 3 Robert L. Pereira, John Day, represented himself. 4 5 David В. Smith, Tigard, represented intervenor-6 respondent Oregonians in Action. 7 Michael G. Smith, Prairie City, represented himself. 8 9 10 SHERTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee; KELLINGTON, 11 Referee, participated in the decision. 12 13 REMANDED 09/08/93 14 15 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 16 17 197.850.

- 1 SHERTON, Chief Referee.
- 2 Respondent moves that the Board remand the challenged
- 3 decision for further proceedings. Respondent represents
- 4 that all of the issues raised in the petitions for review
- 5 will be addressed on remand.
- The other parties do not object to respondent's motion.
- 7 Respondent's motion is granted. The challenged
- 8 decision is remanded.