

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 CHARLES E. STEPHENSON,)
5)
6 Petitioner,)
7)
8 vs.)
9)
10 CLACKAMAS COUNTY,)
11)
12 Respondent,)
13)
14 and)
15)
16 KEYSTONE ENTERPRISES and)
17 KARL WALTER,)
18)
19 Intervenors-Respondent.)

LUBA No. 93-128

FINAL OPINION
AND ORDER

20
21
22 Appeal from Clackamas County.

23
24 Charles E. Stephenson, Oregon City, represented
25 himself.

26
27 Michael E. Judd, Chief Assistant County Counsel, Oregon
28 City, represented respondent.

29
30 Edward J. Sullivan, Portland, represented intervenors-
31 respondent.

32
33 KELLINGTON, Chief Referee; SHERTON, Referee; HOLSTUN,
34 Referee, participated in the decision.

35
36 DISMISSED 10/04/93

37
38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
39 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
40 197.850.

1 KELLINGTON, Chief Referee.

2 The parties agree that this appeal may be dismissed.

3 Therefore, this appeal is dismissed.

4