

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 ROBERT COBLENS, CLAREEN COBLENS,)
5 JOHN HILTON, and NORMAN KRALMAN,)
6) LUBA No. 93-144
7 Petitioners,)
8) FINAL OPINION
9 vs.) AND ORDER
10)
11 CITY OF MILTON-FREEWATER,)
12)
13 Respondent.)

14
15
16 Appeal from City of Milton-Freewater.

17
18 R. A. Andy Millar, Milton-Freewater, represented
19 petitioners.

20
21 Doug Hojem, Pendleton, represented respondent.

22
23 SHERTON, Referee; KELLINGTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN,
24 Referee, participated in the decision.

25
26 DISMISSED 11/12/93

27
28 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
29 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
30 197.850.

1 Opinion by Sherton.

2 ORS 197.830(10) provides that a petition for review
3 must be filed within the deadlines established by Board
4 rule. OAR 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:

5 " * * * The petition for review shall be filed with
6 the Board within 21 days after the date the record
7 is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a
8 petition for review within the time required by
9 this section, and any extensions of that time
10 under * * * OAR 661-10-067(2), shall result in
11 dismissal of the appeal * * *."

12 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing
13 the petition for review may only be extended with the
14 written consent of all parties.

15 Under OAR 661-10-030(1), the petition for review in
16 this appeal was due on October 11, 1993. No extension of
17 time for filing the petition for review has been requested
18 or granted. As of this date, no petition for review has
19 been filed.

20 Because petitioners have neither filed a petition for
21 review within the time required by our rules, nor obtained
22 an extension of time for filing the petition for review,
23 ORS 197.830(10) and OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we
24 dismiss this appeal. McCauley v. Jackson County, 20 Or LUBA
25 176 (1990); Piquette v. City of Springfield, 16 Or LUBA 47
26 (1987); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514 (1987).

27 This appeal is dismissed.