

1 Kellington, Chief Referee.

2 Petitioner appealed our decision in Decuman v.
3 Clackamas County, ____ Or LUBA ____ (LUBA No. 92-191, April
4 6, 1993) to the court of appeals. However, at the court of
5 appeals, the parties agreed that this appeal should be
6 remanded for consideration of new evidence. The court of
7 appeals remanded the appeal on this basis.

8 The parties agree that the challenged decision should
9 be remanded to the county and that the proceedings be
10 limited to the issues raised in the appeal to LUBA and the
11 court of appeals.

12 The county's decision is remanded.