1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARI	O OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OF	REGON
3		
4		
5)	
6	Petitioner,)	LUBA No. 92-191
7)	
8	vs.	FINAL OPINION
9)	AND ORDER
10	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,)	
11)	
12	<u> </u>	
13		
14		
15	On remand from the Court of Appeals.	
16		
17	,	epresented petitioner.
18		a 1 a a a
19	Gloria Gardiner, Assistant County Counsel, Oregon City,	
20	represented respondent.	
21	KELLINGEON Chief Deferrer HOLGEIN Deferrer GUEDEON	
22 23	KELLINGTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN, Referee; SHERTON,	
23 24	Referee, participated in the decision.	
25		/02
26		/ 93
27		review of this Order
28	5	
29	3 1	THE PLOVIBIONS OF ORD

- 1 Kellington, Chief Referee.
- 2 Petitioner appealed our decision in <u>Decuman v.</u>
- 3 Clackamas County, ____ Or LUBA ____ (LUBA No. 92-191, April
- 4 6, 1993) to the court of appeals. However, at the court of
- 5 appeals, the parties agreed that this appeal should be
- 6 remanded for consideration of new evidence. The court of
- 7 appeals remanded the appeal on this basis.
- 8 The parties agree that the challenged decision should
- 9 be remanded to the county and that the proceedings be
- 10 limited to the issues raised in the appeal to LUBA and the
- 11 court of appeals.
- 12 The county's decision is remanded.