```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
   O.M. SCOTT & SONS COMPANY,
   HYPONEX CORPORATION,
 6
                                    )
 7
              Petitioner,
 8
 9
         vs.
10
                                            LUBA No. 94-024
                                    )
11
   CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
                                    )
12
                                            FINAL OPINION
                                    )
13
             Respondent,
                                    )
                                                AND ORDER
14
                                    )
15
         and
16
17
    CLACKAMAS COMMUNITY PLANNING
                                    )
18
   ORGANIZATION,
                                    )
19
                                    )
20
              Intervenor-Respondent.
                                                    )
21
22
23
         Appeal from Clackamas County.
24
25
        Gregory S. Hathaway, Portland, represented petitioner.
26
27
        Michael E. Judd, Chief Assistant County Counsel, Oregon
28
    City, represented respondent.
29
30
                  Waldron and Neal A.
                                             Hueske, Portland,
         Jay
              Т.
31
    represented intervenor-respondent.
32
         SHERTON, Referee; KELLINGTON, Chief Referee; HOLSTUN,
33
34
    Referee, participated in the decision.
35
36
             DISMISSED
                                    04/14/94
37
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
38
39
   Judicial review is governed by the provisions of
40
    197.850.
```

- 1 Sherton, Referee.
- 2 Petitioner moves to dismiss this appeal.
- 3 This appeal is dismissed.