```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
 4
   KIRK REAMES,
                                    )
 5
                                    )
 6
             Petitioner,
 7
 8
        VS.
                                            LUBA No. 94-149
9
10
   DESCHUTES COUNTY,
                                    )
11
                                    )
                                            FINAL OPINION
12
                                               AND ORDER
             Respondent,
13
14
         and
15
16
   J-BAR-J YOUTH SERVICES, INC.,
17
18
             Intervenor-Respondent.
                                                   )
19
20
21
        Appeal from Deschutes County.
22
23
        Kirk Reames, Bend, represented himself.
24
25
                White, County Counsel, Bend, represented
        Bruce
26
    respondent.
27
28
         Greg Hendrix, Bend, represented intervenor-respondent.
29
         KELLINGTON, Referee; HOLSTUN, Chief Referee; SHERTON,
30
31
    Referee, participated in the decision.
32
33
             DISMISSED
                                    11/30/94
34
35
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
36
   Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
37
    197.850.
```

- 1 Kellington, Referee.
- ORS 197.830(10) provides that a petition for review
- 3 must be filed within the deadlines established by Board
- 4 rule. OAR 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:
- 5 "* * * The petition for review shall be filed with
- 6 the Board within 21 days after the date the record
- 7 is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a
- 8 petition for review within the time required by
- 9 this section, and any extensions of that time * *
- * shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."
- 11 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing
- 12 the petition for review may only be extended with the
- 13 written consent of all parties.
- 14 The petition for review in this appeal was due on
- 15 November 17, 1994. No extension of time for filing the
- 16 petition for review has been requested or granted. As of
- 17 this date, no petition for review has been filed.
- 18 Because petitioner neither filed a petition for review
- 19 within the time required by our rules, nor obtained an
- 20 extension of time for filing the petition for review,
- 21 ORS 197.830(10) and OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we
- 22 dismiss this appeal. McCauley v. Jackson County, 20 Or LUBA
- 23 176 (1990); Piquette v. City of Springfield, 16 Or LUBA 47
- 24 (1987); Hutmacher v. Marion County, 15 Or LUBA 514 (1987).
- This appeal is dismissed.