1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	DEVELOP EDGE MAGUINEG ING
4 5	BEVELED EDGE MACHINES, INC.,)
6	Petitioner,)
7) LUBA No. 94-201
8	vs.)
9) FINAL OPINION
10	CITY OF DALLAS, AND ORDER
11)
12	Respondent.)
13	
14	
15	Appeal from City of Dallas.
16	Marila D. Glaimman, Galam, managamtad matitianan
17 18	Mark D. Shipman, Salem, represented petitioner.
19	Mark Irick, Dallas, represented respondent.
20	Mark Trick, Darras, represented respondent.
21	GUSTAFSON, Referee; SHERTON, Chief Referee; LIVINGSTON,
22	Referee, participated in the decision.
23	
24	DISMISSED 06/21/95
25	
26	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
27	Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
28	197.850.

- 1 GUSTAFSON, Referee.
- 2 The parties move for dismissal of this appeal, and
- 3 stipulate that petitioner's \$150 deposit for costs be
- 4 returned to it.
- 5 Accordingly, this appeal is dismissed. The Board will
- 6 return petitioner's \$150 deposit for costs. 1

 $^{^1}$ Winfield Burton, Trustee of the Winfield Burton Trust moved to intervene on the side of petitioner. Respondent City of Dallas objected to the motion. Because petitioner and respondent have stipulated to dismissal of the case, intervenor's motion is rendered moot.