1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON 2 3 4 CHARLES H. MARKHAM,) 5) б Petitioner,) 7) 8 vs.) LUBA No. 95-095 9) 10 COOS COUNTY,) 11 FINAL OPINION) 12 AND ORDER Respondent,) 13) 14 and) 15 16 DEPARTMENT OF LAND CONSERVATION) 17 AND DEVELOPMENT,) 18) 19 Intervenor-Respondent.) 20 21 22 Appeal from Coos County. 23 24 Charles H. Markham, Bandon, represented himself. 25 26 David R. Ris, County Counsel, Coquille, represented 27 respondent. 28 29 Celeste J. Doyle, Assistant Attorney General, Salem, 30 represented intervenor-respondent. 31 32 LIVINGSTON, Chief Referee; HANNA, Referee; GUSTAFSON, 33 Referee, participated in the decision. 34 35 DISMISSED 09/26/95 36 37 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 38 39 197.850.

1 Livingston, Referee.

The Department of Land Conservation and Development moves to dismiss this appeal on the ground the petition for review was not timely filed. Petitioner acknowledges the petition for review was not filed within the time allowed by OAR 661-10-030(1).

7 The time limit established by OAR 661-10-030(1) is 8 strictly enforced. See Terrace Lakes Homeowners Association v. City of Salem, ____ Or LUBA ____ (LUBA No. 95-037, August 9 25, 1995); Bongiovanni v. Josephine County, 29 Or LUBA ____, 10 (LUBA No. 95-062, June 21, 1995); McCauley v. Jackson 11 County, 20 Or LUBA 176 (1990); Piquette v. City of 12 Springfield, 16 Or LUBA 47 (1987); Hutmacher v. Marion 13 14 County, 15 Or LUBA 514 (1987).

15 This appeal is dismissed.