

1 Opinion by Gustafson.

2 **DISCUSSION**

3 Petitioner appeals the county's denial of her request
4 to change the zone on her property from FF-10, Farm Forest
5 10 Acre District, to RFFF-5, Rural Residential Farm Forest 5
6 Acre District. Specifically, petitioner contends the county
7 hearings officer erroneously interpreted the county's zoning
8 and development ordinance (ZDO) 13.02(a). (ZDO) 13.02(a)
9 requires that to qualify for the RFFF-5 zone, surrounding
10 "[p]arcels must be generally five acres."

11 Petitioner has not established any basis for remand or
12 reversal of the county's decision. While the hearings
13 officer's interpretation of ZDO 13.02(a) is not the only
14 plausible one, we find that his interpretation is reasonable
15 and correct.

16 The county's decision is affirmed.

17