

BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
OF THE STATE OF OREGON

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

CITY OF GRESHAM,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF WOOD VILLAGE,) LUBA Nos. 96-216 and 96-224
)
Respondent,)
)
and)
)
MULTNOMAH KENNEL CLUB,)
)
Intervenor-Respondent.)
)
_____)) FINAL OPINION
) AND ORDER
CITY OF FAIRVIEW,)
)
Petitioner,)
)
vs.)
)
CITY OF WOOD VILLAGE,) LUBA Nos. 96-221 and 96-222
)
Respondent,)
)
and)
)
MULTNOMAH KENNEL CLUB,)
)
Intervenor-Respondent.)

Appeal from City of Wood Village.

Richard D. Faus, Senior Assistant City Attorney,
Gresham, represented petitioner City of Gresham.

Edward J. Sullivan, Portland, represented petitioner
City of Fairview.

John M. Junkin, Portland, represented respondent.

1
2 Robert E. Stacey, Portland, represented intervenor-
3 respondent.

1 LIVINGSTON, Referee; HANNA, Chief Referee; GUSTAFSON,
2 Referee, participated in the decision.

3

4

REMANDED

06/13/97

5

6 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
7 Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
8 197.850.

1 Livingston, Referee.

2 The parties stipulate to remand of this consolidated
3 appeal. Accordingly, this appeal is remanded. On remand
4 the City Council of the City of Wood Village will permit the
5 City of Fairview, the City of Gresham, and Multnomah Kennel
6 Club, Inc. to submit evidence and argument addressing each
7 of the issues raised in the petition for review.