```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
   MARINERS VILLAGE HOMEOWNERS'
    ASSOCIATION, SHELTER COVE
                                    )
    HOMEOWNERS' ASSOCIATION, and
 7
   WOODLAND TERRACE HOMEOWNERS'
                                    )
 8
    ASSOCIATION,
 9
10
              Petitioners,
11
                                            LUBA Nos. 97-038
12
         VS.
13
14
   CITY OF FLORENCE,
                                              FINAL OPINION
15
                                                AND ORDER
16
              Respondent,
17
18
         and
19
20
   WILLIAM BARNETT, SR.,
21
22
                                                    )
              Intervenor-Respondent.
23
24
25
         Appeal from City of Florence.
26
         Douglas M. DuPriest, Eugene, represented petitioners.
27
28
29
         Glenn Klein, Eugene, represented respondent.
30
31
         Allen
                L.
                    Johnson, Eugene, represented intervenor-
32
    respondent.
33
         GUSTAFSON, Referee; HANNA, Chief Referee; LIVINGSTON,
34
35
    Referee, participated in the decision.
36
37
              DISMISSED
                                    06/09/97
38
39
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
40
    Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
41
    197.850.
```

- 1 Gustafson, Referee.
- 2 Pursuant to ORS 197.830(12)(b) and OAR 661-10-021, the
- 3 City of Florence withdrew the decision challenged in this
- 4 appeal for reconsideration on May 3, 1997. On May 13, 1997,
- 5 the Board received the city's decision on reconsideration.
- 6 Pursuant to OAR 661-10-021(5)(a), petitioner had until June
- 7 3, 1997 to (1) refile its original notice of intent to
- 8 appeal in this matter, or (2) file an amended notice of
- 9 intent to appeal. The Board has not received a refiled
- 10 original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of
- intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-10-021(5)(a).
- 12 OAR 661-10-021(5)(d) provides "[i]f no amended notice
- 13 of intent to appeal is filed or no original notice of intent
- 14 to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-10-021(5)(a)],
- 15 the appeal will be dismissed."
- 16 This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City
- 17 of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557 (1993).