1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	DAVID A. NIELSON,)
5)
6	Petitioner,) LUBA No. 97-115
7)
8	vs.) FINAL OPINION
9) AND ORDER
10	CITY OF STAYTON,
11	ORS 197.835(16)
12	Respondent.)
13	
14	
15	Appeal from City of Stayton.
16	
17	David A. Nielson, Stayton, filed the petition for
18	review on his own behalf.
19	
20	No appearance by respondent.
21	
22	HANNA, Administrative Law Judge; GUSTAFSON, Chief
23	Administrative Law Judge; LIVINGSTON, Administrative Law
24	Judge, participated in the decision.
25	
26	AFFIRMED 11/17/97
27	
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
29	Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
30	197.850.

1 Opinion by Hanna.

DISCUSSION

2

The challenged decision addresses an application for a variance to reduce a front yard setback from the required 20 feet to 19 feet 6 inches, and a garage entrance setback from the required 25 feet to 3 feet 4 inches. The challenged decision approves the front yard variance but denies the garage entrance variance. Petitioner appeals the approval of the front yard variance which reduces the required

10 setback by six inches.

11 Petitioner makes seven assignments of error in which he

challenges the adequacy of the decision. He does not explain whether he challenges the adequacy of the findings or the substantiality of the evidence. Petitioner has not established any basis for remand or reversal of the county's decision. We find that none of petitioner's assignments of error merits remand or reversal, and all are, therefore, denied

19 The county's decision is affirmed.