



1 Gustafson, Chief Administrative Law Judge.

2 Respondent moves to dismiss this appeal on the ground  
3 that the petition for review was not timely filed. The  
4 petition for review has not been filed, nor has an extension  
5 of time to file the petition for review been granted.

6 ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be  
7 filed within the deadlines established by Board rule. OAR  
8 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:

9       "\* \* \* The petition for review together with four  
10       copies shall be filed with the Board within 21  
11       days after the date the record is received by the  
12       Board. \* \* \* Failure to file a petition for review  
13       within the time required by this section, and any  
14       extensions of that time under \* \* \* OAR 661-10-  
15       067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal \*  
16       \* \*."

17 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing  
18 the petition for review may be extended only by written  
19 consent of all the parties.

20       The deadline for filing the petition for review is  
21 strictly enforced. See Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assn. v.  
22 City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, aff'd 138 Or App 188 (1995);  
23 Bongiovanni v. Klamath County, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).

24       Because a petition for review was not filed within the  
25 time required by our rules, ORS 197.830(10) and  
26 OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.

27       This appeal is dismissed.