```
1
                BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
 2.
                       OF THE STATE OF OREGON
 3
 4
   CITY OF HERMISTON,
                                    )
 5
                                    )
 6
              Petitioner,
                                    )
 7
                                             LUBA No. 97-197
 8
         VS.
 9
10
   CITY OF STANFIELD,
                                    )
11
                                    )
12
              Respondent.
                                    )
13
                                              FINAL OPINION
14
                                    )
                                                AND ORDER
    WAL-MART STORES, INC.,
15
                                    )
16
                                    )
17
              Petitioner,
18
                                    )
                                             LUBA No. 97-199
19
         vs.
                                    )
20
                                    )
21
   CITY OF STANFIELD,
                                    )
22
                                    )
2.3
              Respondent.
                                    )
24
25
26
         Appeal from City of Stanfield.
27
28
         Michael C. Robinson, Portland, represented petitioner
29
    City of Hermiston.
30
31
         Gregory S. Hathaway and Christopher C. Brand, Portland,
    represented petitioner Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
32
33
34
         E. Sean Donahue, Portland, represented respondent.
35
36
         HANNA,
                Administrative Law Judge; GUSTAFSON, Chief
    Administrative Law Judge; LIVINGSTON, Administrative Law
37
    Judge, participated in the decision.
38
39
40
                                    12/15/97
              DISMISSED
41
         You are entitled to judicial review of this Order.
42
43
   Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS
44
    197.850.
```

- 1 Hanna, Administrative Law Judge.
- 2 Petitioners City of Stanfield and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.
- 3 move to dismiss their respective appeals in this
- 4 consolidated proceeding. Accordingly, this consolidated
- 5 appeal is dismissed. The Board will return each
- 6 petitioner's deposit for costs.