| 1 | BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS |
|----------------------|---|
| 2 3 | OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
| 4 5 6 | BARTHOLEMY CONSTRUCTION, INC.,) and RED BAR ESTATES, L.L.C.,) |
| 7 8 | Petitioner,) |
| 9 10 | vs.)) LUBA No. 97-185 |
| 11 12 | DESCHUTES COUNTY,) FINAL OPINION |
| 13 14 | Respondent,) AND ORDER) |
| 15 16 | and) |
| 17 18 19 | CENTRAL CASCADE HEALTH) SYSTEMS, L.L.C.,) |
| 20 21 | Intervenor-Respondent.) |
| 22 23 24 | Appeal from Deschutes County. |
| 25 26 | John A. Rankin, Sherwood, represented petitioner. |
| 27 28 | Bruce W. White, Bend, represented respondent. |
| 29 30 31 | Edward P. Fitch, Redmond, represented intervenor-respondent. |
| 32 33 34 35 | LIVINGSTON, Administrative Law Judge; GUSTAFSON, Chief Administrative Law Judge; HANNA, Administrative Law Judge, participated in the decision. |
| 36 37 | DISMISSED 1/23/98 |
| 38 39 | You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850. |

40

- 1 Livingston, Administrative Law Judge.
- 2 The petition for review in this appeal was due November
- 3 28, 1997. The petition for review has not been filed, nor has
- 4 an extension of time to file the petition for review been
- 5 granted.
- ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be
- 7 filed within the deadlines established by Board rule. OAR
- 8 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:
- 9 "* * * The petition for review together with four
- 10 copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days
- 11 after the date the record is received by the Board.
- 12 * * * Failure to file a petition for review within
- the time required by this section, and any
- extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-10-
- 15 067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * *
- 16 *."
- 17 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the
- 18 petition for review may be extended only by written consent of
- 19 all the parties.
- The deadline for filing the petition for review is
- 21 strictly enforced. Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assn. v. City of
- 22 Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, aff'd 138 Or App 188 (1995);
- 23 Bongiovanni v. Klamath County, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).
- 24 Because a petition for review was not filed within the
- 25 time required by our rules, and petitioner did not obtain
- 26 written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for
- 27 review under OAR-661-10-067(2) beyond November 28, 1997,
- 28 ORS 197.830(10) and OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we dismiss
- 29 this appeal.
- This appeal is dismissed.