BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS 1 2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON 3 CURTIS HUNTER and JEFFREY 4) 5 SEYMOUR,) 6 7 Petitioner, 8 9 vs. 10 LUBA No. 97-212 11 METROPOLITAN SERVICE DISTRICT, 12 FINAL OPINION AND ORDER 13 Respondent, 14 15 and 16 CITY OF WEST LINN, 17 18 19 Intervenor-Respondent.) 20 21 Appeal from City of West Linn. 22 23 Jophn W. Shonkwiler, Tigard, represented petitioner. 24 25 26 Daniel B. Cooper, Portland, represented respondent. 27 Pamela J. Berry, Portland, represented intervenor-28 29 respondent. 30 31 HANNA, Adminstrative Law Judge; GUSTAFSON, Chief Adminstrative Law Judge, participated in the decision. 32 33 34 DISMISSED 02/11/98 35 36 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850. 37 38

1

Hanna, Adminstrative Law Judge.

The petition for review in the appeal was due November 7 27, 1998. The petition for review has not been filed, nor has 8 an extension of time to file the petition for review been 9 granted.

ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be
filed within the deadlines established by Board rule. OAR
661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:

9 "* * * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days 10 after the date the record is received by the Board. 11 * * * Failure to file a petition for review within 12 13 the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-10-14 067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * 15 * " 16

17 OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the 18 petition for review may be extended only by written consent of 19 all the parties.

The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. <u>See Terrace Lakes Homeowners Assn. v. City</u> <u>of Salem</u>, 29 Or LUBA 532, <u>aff'd</u> 138 Or App 188 (1995); <u>Bongiovanni v. Klamath County</u>, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).

Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review under OAR-661-10-067(2) beyond November 27, 1998, ORS 197.830(10) and OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.

30 This appeal is dismissed.

Page 2