1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS		
2 3			
5 4 5	CAMPBELL MUNN,)	
5 6 7	Petitioner,)	
7 8 9	VS.)	LUBA No. 98-191
) 10 11	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,)	FINAL OPINION
11 12 13	Respondent,)	AND ORDER
13 14 15	and)	
15 16 17	HAL and VIVIAN SUTTON,)	
17 18 19	Intervenors-Respondent.)	
20 21	Appeal from Clackamas County.		
22 23 24	Timothy J. Vanagas, Gresham, repres	ented petitic	oner.
24 25 26	H. Andrew Clark, Assistant County C	Counsel, Ore	gon City, represented respondent.
20 27 28	Mary W. Johnson, Oregon City, repre	esented inter	venors-respondent.
29 30 31	GUSTAFSON, Board Chair; HANNA participated in the decision.	A, Board M	ember; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
32 33	DISMISSED	12/31/9	8
33 34 35	You are entitled to judicial review of provisions of ORS 197.850.	f this Order.	Judicial review is governed by the

36

1 Gustafson, Board Chair.

2	The petition for review in the appeal was due December 15, 1998. The petition for			
3	review has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been			
4	granted.			
5	ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadline			
6	established by Board rule. OAR 661-10-030(1) provides, in relevant part:			
7 8 9 10 11	"* * * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-10-067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *."			
12	OAR 661-10-067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be			
13	extended only by written consent of all the parties.			
14	The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. See Terrace Lakes			
15	Homeowners Assn. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, aff'd 138 Or App 188 (1995);			
16	Bongiovanni v. Klamath County, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).			
17	Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and			
18	petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review			
19	under OAR-661-10-067(2) beyond December 15, 1998, ORS 197.830(10) and			
20	OAR 661-10-030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.			
21	This appeal is dismissed.			