



1 Opinion by Hanna.

2 Pursuant to ORS 197.830(12)(b) and OAR 661-10-021, the City of Portland withdrew  
3 the decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on October 29, 1998. On  
4 November 6, 1998, the Board received the county's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to  
5 OAR 661-10-021(5)(a), petitioner had until November 27, 1998 to (1) refile its original  
6 notice of intent to appeal in this matter, or (2) file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The  
7 Board has not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of  
8 intent to appeal in accordance with OAR 661-10-021(5)(a).

9 OAR 661-10-021(5)(d) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed or  
10 no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-10-021(5)(a)], the  
11 appeal will be dismissed."

12 This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557  
13 (1993).