1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	PATRICIA LAWRENCE,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
10	Respondent.
11	•
12	LUBA No. 98-132
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	On remand from the Court of Appeals.
18	
19	Mary W. Johnson, Oregon City, represented petitioner.
20	
21	Michael E. Judd, County Counsel, Oregon City, represented respondent.
22	
23	HOLSTUN, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member,
24	participated in the decision.
25 26	REMANDED 03/08/2000
27	
28	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
29	provisions of ORS 197.850.
20	•

1	Opinion by Holstun.
2	This matter is before us on remand from the Court of Appeals. Lawrence v.
3	Clackamas County, Or LUBA (LUBA No. 98-132, June 15, 1999), rev'd and
4	remanded 164 Or App 462, P2d (1999). In accordance with the Court of Appeals'
5	decision, the hearings officer's decision is remanded to the county to (1) properly apply the
5	rebuttable presumption created by ORS 215.130(10)(a) and (2) conduct a de novo review as
7	required by ORS 215.416(11).

The county's decision is remanded.

8