

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS

2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3  
4 MARTIN PLOTKIN, ROBIN PLOTKIN,  
5 O. MEREDITH WILSON, JR., and  
6 THEODORA ANNE WILSON,  
7 *Petitioners,*

8  
9 vs.

10 WASHINGTON COUNTY,  
11 *Respondent,*

12  
13 and

14  
15 BOB POWNE,  
16 *Intervenor-Respondent.*

17  
18 LUBA No. 98-133

19  
20 FINAL OPINION  
21 AND ORDER

22  
23  
24 On remand from the Court of Appeals.

25  
26 Jeffrey M. Batchelor, Portland, represented petitioners.

27  
28 Alan A. Rappleyea, Senior Assistant County Counsel, Hillsboro, represented  
29 respondent.

30  
31 Stuart K. Cohen, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.

32  
33 BRIGGS, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,  
34 participated in the decision.

35  
36 AFFIRMED

37 3/21/2000

38 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the  
39 provisions of ORS 197.850.

40

Opinion by Briggs.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7

We remanded the decision challenged in this appeal on the basis that the county failed to correctly interpret Washington County Community Development Code 422. *Plotkin v. Washington County*, \_\_\_ Or LUBA \_\_\_ (LUBA No. 98-133, July 2, 1999). The Oregon Court of Appeals reversed and remanded our decision, and directed us to affirm the county’s decision. *Plotkin v. Washington County*, 165 Or App 246, \_\_\_ P2d \_\_\_ (2000).

Accordingly, the county’s decision is affirmed.