

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,
5 *Petitioner,*

6
7 and

8
9 LINNTON NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION,
10 *Intervenor-Petitioner,*

11
12 vs.

13
14 CITY OF PORTLAND,
15 *Respondent,*

16
17 and

18
19 DISHONGH & PALMER, LTD.,
20 *Intervenor-Respondent.*

21
22 LUBA No. 99-155

23
24 LINNTON NEIGHBORHOOD ASSOCIATION,
25 *Petitioner,*

26
27 and

28
29 ARNOLD ROCHLIN,
30 *Intervenor-Petitioner,*

31
32 vs.

33
34 CITY OF PORTLAND,
35 *Respondent,*

36
37 and

38
39 DISHONGH & PALMER, LTD.,
40 *Intervenor-Respondent.*

41
42 LUBA No. 99-156

43
44 FINAL OPINION
45 AND ORDER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

Appeal from City of Portland.

Arnold Rochlin, Portland, filed a petition for review on his own behalf. A. Alexander Hamalian, Portland, filed a petition for review on behalf of petitioner Linnton Neighborhood Association.

Ruth M. Spetter, Portland, represented respondent.

Stark Ackerman, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.

BASSHAM, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member, participated in the decision.

REMANDED 03/08/2000

You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the provisions of ORS 197.850.

1

Bassham, Board Chair.

2

The parties stipulate that the decision challenged in this consolidated appeal be

3

remanded. Accordingly, the decision is remanded.