1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 3	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
4	PATRICIA L. REINERT,
5	Petitioner,
6	Τειποπει,
7	VS.
8	v b.
9	CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
10	Respondent,
11	Кезропист,
12	and
13	und
14	AT&T WIRELESS SERVICES, INC.,
15	Intervenor-Respondent.
16	mervenor respondent.
17	LUBA No. 2000-043
18	LODA 100. 2000 045
19	FINAL OPINION
20	AND ORDER
21	
22	Appeal from Clackamas County.
23	rippeur nom chuckuntus county.
24	Dorothy S. Cofield, Lake Oswego, represented petitioner.
25	Dorotaly 51 Contena, Lune Ostrogo, representea politionen
26	Michael E. Judd, Oregon City, represented respondent.
27	Milender 21. vada, Gregori Orty, represented respondenti
28	Timothy V. Ramis, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
29	Thiothy V. Ruhns, Portune, represented intervenor respondent.
30	HOLSTUN, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member,
31	participated in the decision.
32	
33	REMANDED 05/23/2000
34	
35	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36	provisions of ORS 197.850.
20	

37

1	Holstun, Board Member.
2	The parties request that the decision challenged in this appeal be remanded to the
3	county for additional proceedings. Accordingly, the county's decision is remanded. The
4	Board will return petitioner's \$150 deposit for costs.