| 1 | BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS |
|----|---|
| 2 | OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
| 3 | |
| 4 | ROBERT TACKETT and MARCIA TACKETT , |
| 5 | Petitioners, |
| 6 | |
| 7 | VS. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | CITY OF MEDFORD, |
| 10 | Respondent, |
| 11 | |
| 12 | and |
| 13 | |
| 14 | CHUCK SMITH and MILO SMITH, |
| 15 | Intervenors-Respondent. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | LUBA No. 2000-063 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | FINAL OPINION |
| 20 | AND ORDER |
| 21 | |
| 22 | Appeal from City of Medford. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | Robert Tackett, Medford, and Marcia Tackett, Medford, represented themselves. |
| 25 | |
| 26 | Ronald L. Doyle, Medford, represented respondent. |
| 27 | |
| 28 | John R. Hassen, Medford, represented intervenors-respondent. |
| 29 | |
| 30 | BRIGGS, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member, |
| 31 | participated in the decision. |
| 32 | |
| 33 | DISMISSED 07/12/2000 |
| 34 | |
| 35 | You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the |
| 36 | provisions of ORS 197.850. |
| 37 | - |

| 1 | Opinion by Briggs. |
|------------------------|--|
| 2 | The petition for review in the appeal was due June 22, 2000. The petition for review |
| 3 | has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been granted. |
| 4 | ORS 197.830(10) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadlines |
| 5 | established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in relevant part: |
| 6 7 8 9 10 | * * * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the Board within 21 days after the date the record is received by the Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *. |
| 11 | OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be |
| 12 | extended only by written consent of all the parties. |
| 13 | The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. Terrace Lakes |
| 14 | Homeowners Assn. v. City of Salem, 29 Or LUBA 532, aff'd 138 Or App 188 (1995); |
| 15 | Bongiovanni v. Klamath County, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995). |
| 16 | Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and |
| 17 | petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review |
| 18 | under OAR-661-010-0067(2) beyond June 22, 2000, ORS 197.830(10) and OAR |
| 19 | 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal. |
| 20 | This appeal is dismissed. |