1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	ARTHUR E. BAILEY,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	and
8	
9	MARY LINDA LAIRD,
10	Intervenor-Petitioner,
11	
12	VS.
13	
14	CITY OF PORTLAND,
15	Respondent,
16	
17	and
18	
19	ELGIN H. LAIZURE, JR.,
20	Intervenor-Respondent.
21	7.77D + 3.7
22	LUBA No. 2000-079
23	
24	FINAL OPINION
25	AND ORDER
26	
27	Appeal from City of Portland.
28	
29	Timothy V. Ramis and Dana L. Krawczuk, Portland, represented petitioner.
30	Detailed II James Deviled a segment of intermediate man
31	Patrick H. Jensen, Portland, represented intervenor-petitioner.
32	Leffrey I. December of French Hydron, Doubland, represented respondent
33	Jeffrey L. Rogers and Frank Hudson, Portland, represented respondent.
34	Charge W. Abal and Tr. V. Warren Doubland represented intermental intermediate
35	Steven W. Abel and Ty K. Wyman, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent.
36	HOLCTIN Doord Mambau DACCHAM Doord Chair DDICCC Doord Mambau
37	HOLSTUN, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member,
38	participated in the decision.
39	DIGMICCED 07/07/2000
40	DISMISSED 07/27/2000
41	Voy one antitled to indicial naview of this Only a Testinian resistant to 11 of
42	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
43	provisions of ORS 197.850.
44	

Holstun, Board Member.

2	Petitioner moves to withdraw his notice of intent to appeal and to dismiss this appeal.
3	LUBA loses jurisdiction over an appeal where the petitioner withdraws the notice of intent to
4	appeal. Gross v. Washington County, 17 Or LUBA 640, 645-46 (1989); National
5	Advertising Company v. City of Portland, 20 Or LUBA 79, 85 (1990). Accordingly this

1