| 1 | BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS |
|----|---|
| 2 | OF THE STATE OF OREGON |
| 3 | |
| 4 | ANDREW D. BIGLEY, SHELLY M. KELSO BIGLEY, |
| 5 | WEST HILLS STREAMS, and LIZ CALLISON, |
| 6 | Petitioners, |
| 7 | |
| 8 | VS. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | CITY OF PORTLAND, |
| 11 | Respondent, |
| 12 | |
| 13 | and |
| 14 | |
| 15 | METRO, |
| 16 | Intervenor-Respondent. |
| 17 | |
| 18 | LUBA No. 99-089 |
| 19 | |
| 20 | FINAL OPINION |
| 21 | AND ORDER |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Appeal from City of Portland. |
| 24 | |
| 25 | Andrew H. Stamp, Portland, represented petitioner. |
| 26 | |
| 27 | Jeffrey L. Rogers, Portland, represented respondent. |
| 28 | |
| 29 | Kenneth D. Helm, Portland, represented intervenor-respondent. |
| 30 | |
| 31 | HOLSTUN, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; BRIGGS, Board Member, |
| 32 | participated in the decision. |
| 33 | |
| 34 | REMANDED 09/13/2000 |
| 35 | |
| 36 | You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the |
| 37 | provisions of ORS 197.850. |
| 38 | |

| 1 | Holstun, Board Member. |
|---|--|
| 2 | Our initial decision in this matter was remanded by the Court of Appeals. Bigley v. |
| 3 | City of Portland, 37 Or LUBA 544, 168 Or App 508, P2d (2000). All parties |
| 4 | stipulate that the challenged decision should be remanded to the city for further proceedings. |
| 5 | Accordingly, the city's decision is remanded. |