

1 BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2 OF THE STATE OF OREGON

3
4 PATRICIA LAWRENCE,
5 *Petitioner,*

6
7 vs.

8
9 CLACKAMAS COUNTY,
10 *Respondent,*

11 and

12
13
14 DAN CLANCY and DAMASCUS NEIGHBORS,
15 *Intervenors-Respondent.*

16
17 LUBA No. 2000-139

18
19 FINAL OPINION
20 AND ORDER

21
22 Appeal from Clackamas County.

23
24 Mary W. Johnson, Oregon City, represented petitioner.

25
26 Michael E. Judd, Oregon City, represented respondent.

27
28 Jeffrey L. Kleinman, Portland, represented intervenors-respondent.

29
30 HOLSTUN, Board Member; BRIGGS, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair,
31 participated in the decision.

32
33 DISMISSED

01/31/2001

34
35 You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
36 provisions of ORS 197.850.
37

1 Opinion by Holstun.

2 The petition for review in the appeal was due December 25, 2000. The petition for
3 review has not been filed, nor has an extension of time to file the petition for review been
4 granted.

5 ORS 197.830(11) requires that a petition for review be filed within the deadlines
6 established by Board rule. OAR 661-010-0030(1) provides, in relevant part:

7 “* * * The petition for review together with four copies shall be filed with the
8 Board within 21 days after the date the record is received or settled by the
9 Board. * * * Failure to file a petition for review within the time required by
10 this section, and any extensions of that time under * * * OAR 661-010-
11 0067(2), shall result in dismissal of the appeal * * *.”

12 OAR 661-010-0067(2) provides that the time limit for filing the petition for review may be
13 extended only by written consent of all the parties.

14 The deadline for filing the petition for review is strictly enforced. *Terrace Lakes*
15 *Homeowners Assoc. v. City of Salem*, 29 Or LUBA 532, *aff'd* 138 Or App 188, 906 P2d 871
16 (1995); *Bongiovanni v. Klamath County*, 29 Or LUBA 351 (1995).

17 Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules, and
18 petitioner did not obtain written consent to extend the time for filing the petition for review
19 under OAR-661-010-0067(2) beyond December 25, 2000, ORS 197.830(11) and OAR
20 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.

21 This appeal is dismissed.