1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	STONEBROOK ASSOCIATES,
5	Petitioner,
6	
7	VS.
8	
9	CITY OF MEDFORD,
10	Respondent.
11	
12	LUBA No. 99-187
13	
14	FINAL OPINION
15	AND ORDER
16	
17	Appeal from City of Medford.
18	
19	John R. Hasson, Medford, represented petitioner.
20	
21	Ronald L. Doyle, Medford, represented respondent.
22	
23	
24	BRIGGS, Board Member; BASSHAM, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
25	participated in the decision.
26	
27	DISMISSED 01/10/2001
28	
29	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
30	provisions of ORS 197.850.
31	

1 2	Opinion by Briggs.
3	Respondent moves to dismiss this appeal. According to respondent, the applicant,
4	Stonebrook Associates, has withdrawn its application that led to the challenged decision, and
5	therefore, the appeal is moot. Petitioner does not object to the motion.
6	LUBA will dismiss an appeal as moot, where review would have no practical effect.
7	Gettman v. City of Bay City, 28 Or LUBA 121 (1994). Based on the city's representation
8	that the withdrawal of the subject application renders the challenged decision moot, we
9	dismiss this appeal.