1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS				
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON				
3					
4	OREGON ELECTRIC SIGN ASSOCIATION,				
5	RAMSEY SIGNS, INC. and PACIFIC ABLE				
6	SIGN COMPANY,				
7	Petitioners,				
8					
9	VS.				
10					
11	CITY OF PORTLAND,				
12	Respondent.				
13	XXID XX 00 110				
11 12 13 14	LUBA No. 99-113				
	EINIAL ODINIONI				
16	FINAL OPINION				
17	AND ORDER				
18	Annual from City of Dortland				
19 20	Appeal from City of Portland.				
21	Peggy Hennessy, Portland and Timothy V. Ramis, Portland, represented petitioner.				
21	reggy remiessy, rortand and rimothy v. Ramis, rortand, represented petitioner.				
22 23 24 25	Kathryn S. Beaumont, Portland, represented respondent.				
23	Ratin yir b. Deatimont, I ortified, represented respondent.				
25	BASSHAM, Board Member; BRIGGS, Board Chair; HOLSTUN, Board Member,				
26	participated in the decision.				
27	participation in the decision.				
28	DISMISSED 03/22/2001				
29					
30	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the				
31	provisions of ORS 197.850.				
22	•				

\sim	•	•	1	D 1
()n	าก	10n	hv	Bassham.
\sim P		1011	\sim	D abbitair.

- Petitioners advise the Board that the city has adopted a new sign ordinance and that the issues that petitioners sought to challenge in this appeal have become moot. For that reason, petitioners did not file the petition for review in the appeal, which was due January 5 15, 2001.
- Because a petition for review was not filed within the time required by our rules,

 ORS 197.830(11) and OAR 661-010-0030(1) require that we dismiss this appeal.
- 8 This appeal is dismissed.

1