1	BEFORE THE LAND USE BOARD OF APPEALS
2	OF THE STATE OF OREGON
3	
4	JACK JOHNSON and
5	PATRICIA JOHNSON,
6	Petitioners,
7	
8	VS.
9	
10	CITY OF LA GRANDE,
11	Respondent.
12	1
13	LUBA No. 2001-147
14	
15	FINAL OPINION
16	AND ORDER
17	
18	Appeal from City of La Grande.
19	
20	Mark Tipperman, La Grande, represented petitioner.
21	
22	Jonel Ricker, La Grande, represented respondent.
23	
24	BRIGGS, Board Chair; BASSHAM, Board Member; HOLSTUN, Board Member,
25	participated in the decision.
26	L L
27	DISMISSED 01/22/2002
28	
29	You are entitled to judicial review of this Order. Judicial review is governed by the
30	provisions of ORS 197.850.
31	
-	

1	Opinion by Briggs.
2	Pursuant to ORS 197.830(13)(b) and OAR 661-010-0021, the city withdrew the
3	decision challenged in this appeal for reconsideration on September 19, 2001. On December
4	17, 2001, the Board received the city's decision on reconsideration. Pursuant to OAR 661-
5	010-0021(5)(a), petitioners had until January 7, 2002 to either refile their original notice of
6	intent to appeal in this matter, or file an amended notice of intent to appeal. The Board has
7	not received a refiled original notice of intent to appeal or an amended notice of intent to
8	appeal in accordance with OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a).
9	OAR 661-010-0021(5)(e) provides "[i]f no amended notice of intent to appeal is filed
10	or no original notice of intent to appeal is refiled, as provided in [OAR 661-010-0021(5)(a)],
11	the appeal will be dismissed."
12	This appeal is dismissed. Matrix Development v. City of Tigard, 25 Or LUBA 557
13	(1993).